

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33

MOTION

NO. 887

A MOTION of intention to continue Probation Subsidy Program during 1973.

WHEREAS, Chapter 165, Laws of 1969, Ex. Sess., provided that a special supervision program for Juvenile Court probation services would be reimbursed from State funds, and

WHEREAS, the payment of said reimbursement is regulated by the Department of Social and Health Services rules and regulations No. WAC 275.32.010 through 275.32.100, and

WHEREAS, said laws and regulations require participating counties to notify the State of their intent to continue said program as a preliminary application for funding reimbursement;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT MOVED by the Council of King County:

That it is the expressed intent of King County to continue the Juvenile Court Probation Subsidy Program for the fiscal year 1973 and that the budget document entitled "King County Special Supervision Program Budget for January 1 - December 31, 1973", which is attached hereto and by this reference made a part hereof shall be submitted to the Director of the Department of Social and Health Services to insure continued State participation in said program.

PASSED this 7th day of August, 1972.

KING COUNTY COUNCIL
KING COUNTY, WASHINGTON

ATTEST:

Dorothy M. Quinn
ACTING Deputy Administrator-Clerk of
the Council

Thomas M. Forsyth
VICE Chairman

KING COUNTY
SPECIAL SUPERVISION PROGRAM
BUDGET FOR JANUARY 1 - DECEMBER 31, 1973

INTRODUCTION:

The budget for 1973 for the King County Special Supervision Program is submitted in two parts to reflect the uncertainties of funding support from the State Legislature during the next biennium. The first part (Budget A) provides for continuation of the program at its present level through calendar year 1973. The second part (Budget B) provides for program augmentation commencing 1 July 1973 provided adequate funding is forthcoming from appropriations for the next biennium. To permit continuation of the program at its present level, additional funds of \$26,853 over what was provided for 1972 (\$386,502) will be required to accommodate prospective pay raises and increased employee benefit costs. Sufficient funds are already available to support the program through 30 June 1973 (\$193,251) from the original allocation and \$16,726 carry-over from the 1971 allocation. Thus, \$203,378 additional funding will be required to support the program at its present level through 31 December 1973. This does not include the special program for girls which has been funded only through 30 June 1973. If this special program is to be continued beyond 30 June 1973, additional funds in the amount of \$7,500 will be required through 31 December 1973.

Budget B in the amount of \$124,640 supports a proposed increase in the Special Supervision Program by two support personnel on 1 July 1973 and two Special Supervision Units to be phased in at three-month intervals commencing 1 July 1973. Corresponding increases in support and operating costs are included.

The increase proposed in Budget B when fully implemented, will permit a sustained level of operations approximately double the present size at an annual cost for succeeding years of about \$750,000. This is well within the potential earning capacity of King County under the funding formula.

APPLICATION REQUIREMENTS FOR FUNDS

Preliminary Application for Funds. WAC 275.32.120. Preliminary application consists of a statement prepared by the appropriate county official or officials designated by the Board of County Commissioners. It should be submitted with the Resolution of Intention.

The preliminary application should set forth the following information:

- I. *The estimated number of probationers to be included in the Special Supervision Program.*

Legislative funding support to date has limited our program to two Special Supervision Units of five probation officers each. Although the legal limit is 30 cases for each probation officer, experience indicates that 20 cases is an outside limit for effective, intensive supervision, and depending on the nature of the cases: i.e., number and severity of complications, involvement with parents and siblings, etc., the maximum number should be even less. Accordingly, we have established 20 cases as the maximum number to be assigned to a probation officer at any one time. This has provided for a theoretical maximum of 200 cases which could be accommodated by the Special Supervision Program at any one time. The total number of probationers to be accommodated during the year is dependent on the average case load assignment and the flow rate through the program, neither of which can be predicted with accuracy because of fluctuating determinants. Based on past experience, a reasonable expectation for case load averages is 15 and the length of stay in the program is 10 months; thus, approximately 175 probationers will be accommodated during the year. With the expansion proposed in Budget B, this number would increase to 325 for 1973 and approximate 350 to 400 per year thereafter. It is expected that the average length of stay in the program will decrease as the capacity increases due to the ability to accommodate more of the less severe cases.

- II. *A statement regarding whether these programs will serve boys, girls, or both.*

Our program will give service, as appropriate - to all children of both sexes between the ages of 8 and 18.

- III. *The number of probation officers, supervisors, and stenographers and/or secretaries planned.*

We plan to maintain the two existing Special Supervision Units (consisting of two supervisors, ten probation officers, two secretaries and two stenographers) throughout the remainder of 1972. We also plan to continue with the current administrative and support services personnel. Provided sufficient funds are made available from appropriations for the next biennium, we plan to augment our program commencing 1 July 1973 by two support personnel - a Training Specialist and a Group Recreation Specialist and by one additional special supervision unit consisting of one supervisor, five probation officers, a secretary and a stenographer. A second such unit will be added on 1 October 1973 to permit a phased buildup.

NOTE: The relationship of stenographic positions to probation officers required by WAC 275.32.080 subparagraph (3) of one stenographer/ clerical position for each two probation officers is considered excessive. For that reason, we are asking for a continuation of the waiver previously granted to limit clerical staffing to one secretary and one stenographer for each unit, plus the Secretary/ Office Manager position for the Burien area which serves both regular and special supervision and is funded 50% from each. In practice, we have used and will continue to use the two clerical positions in support and administrative assignments to buttress the other clerical positions as necessary.

IV. *The estimated costs of salaries, equipment and supporting services.*

The estimated costs of salaries, equipment and supporting services:

BUDGET A

Salaries (Salaries, wages and employee benefits)	\$ 338,683
Supporting Services (Operation and Maintenance, Rental of Space and Equipment)	74,672
Equipment (Capital Outlay - Purchased)	<u>-000-</u>
TOTAL	\$ 413,355

BUDGET B

Salaries (Salaries, wages and employee benefits)	\$ 89,540 *
Supporting Services (Operation and Maintenance, Rental, of Space and Equipment)	31,550
Equipment (Capital Outlay - Purchased)	<u>3,550</u>
TOTAL	\$ 124,640

*Salaries are equivalent with comparable positions in the regular court budget and the Union Contract.

See pages 11 through 14 for specific details.

V. *An estimate of the maximum sum the County expects to be able to claim reimbursement for at the end of the year of performance.*

REIMBURSEMENT ESTIMATE	BUDGET A	\$ 413,355
	BUDGET B	\$ 124,640

Also see page 10 for basis of program financing.

VI. *The anticipated starting date and a detailed and specific description of the program together with a description of how each relates to the other and the total Special Supervision Program.*

A. ANTICIPATED STARTING DATE - The King County Program has been continuous since January 1, 1970 and will continue uninterrupted through 1973.

B. PROGRAM DESCRIPTION

1. Policy and Goals

The established policy of the King County Juvenile Court is to seek maximum rehabilitation of juvenile offenders through community-based probationary treatment, resorting to commitment only when necessary to protect the community from serious recurring delinquent acts, or when the special needs of the child can best be served through incarceration in a state institution.

Guidelines established by the Board of Managers for implementation require continuing solicitation of greater community involvement and upgrading of probationary care and treatment services for all probationers. Community involvement for the immediate future is to be pursued through co-assignment of Probation Officers in both regular and Special Supervision Programs on a geographical basis.

The Special Supervision Program is a community-based program for children who meet the legal requirements. Services are multi-dimensional to provide intensive treatment to children and their families, and Special Supervision Program accepts the more troubled and delinquent youngsters who, without intensive services, might otherwise be committed. The uniqueness of the Special Supervision Program lies in its capacity and ability to be experimental and innovative, the resourcefulness of its practitioners and the availability of supportive services. Within the Special Supervision Program segment, performance is facilitated by the statutory requirement to limit caseloads, currently about 1/2 of regular program loads. These unique characteristics will be zealously preserved.

For the long haul, to be achieved as soon as feasible, we are attempting to provide for regular supervision sufficient staff and resources to permit the same degree of supervision as is now available to probationers in the Special Supervision Program. When achieved, this will permit treatment to be undertaken more expeditiously, and assignment of probationers to case workers on the basis of client need rather than his place of abode or other arbitrary considerations. Better case load distribution will preclude the concentration of the more difficult cases in the Special Supervision Program which has been the trend. This is expected to lead to greater productivity for a variety of reasons including (1) reduced processing time for probationers, (2) improved worker effectiveness and (3) somewhat larger case loads both as a result of better case load mix, and (4) increased flow through the program due to lower average treatment time.

Program Description B 1. continued

Hopefully, the foregoing efforts and goals will lead to much earlier intervention of the Juvenile Court into the problems of delinquency-bound juveniles, where the task of rehabilitation will be less and the chances of success greater than at present. The terminology is Early Delinquency Diversion rather than Delinquency Prevention which is not the court's sanction.

2. Program Specifics

a. Community-based Services

The principal innovation, which has already been initiated, is to assign probation officers to geographical areas which are presently divided along school district boundaries. This decision has greatly accelerated the thrust of court services into the community. Probation services now are provided almost exclusively in homes, schools, churches, drop-in centers, and in community social agencies. Providing probationary services in the community also has had an impact on the roles and functions of Special Supervision staff. They are being used increasingly as delinquency consultants by school, law enforcement and other social agency personnel. Thus, we see a need to re-define some traditional juvenile court concepts such as caseload (versus) workload, and probation supervision (versus) probationary services - i.e., treatment - for the entire client system.

The traditional role of supervisor has been expanded to that of supervisor/consultant. Supervisor/consultants provide consultation to community leaders, administrators and planners as well as court staff and also assist in coordinating community services provided by Special Supervision and by regular court probation officers.

While we are experiencing these conceptual and functional changes as a direct result of providing probationary services in the community, the core element of our services still remains client-focused, (though not necessarily client-centered). Casework and group work form the bulk of direct client services. Experimentation continues with treatment techniques such as Parent Effectiveness Training, Heimler Method of Social Functioning, Transactional Analysis, Behavioral Modifications, Gestalt Therapy, Role Reversal, Conjoint Family Therapy, individually tailored school and vocational training programs, and reflective feed-back sessions via video and audio taping sessions.

Staff are charged with providing and coordinating the following services:

- (1) Individual probation services delivered by program staff and volunteer probation officers.
- (2) Group and specialized delinquency treatment.

Community-based Services continued:

- (3) Consultation and resource aid to Juvenile Court Conference Committees in the various communities.
- (4) Consultation and service resource aid to community youths serving agencies/groups/organizations.
- (5) Community organization services to enhance the development, effectiveness and coordination of community youth services.
- (6) Group and regular or interim foster homes recruitment, selection, placement and supervision.

NOTE: Additional supportive aid will be provided by volunteers secured through services of Volunteer Coordinator (position filled in 1972).

b. Housing

Our original program (1970) envisioned the placement of Special Supervision Units in those areas of the community which referred the greatest number of children to the Juvenile Court. This plan was altered as a result of (1) the unanticipated loss of state funds available for program expansion, and (2) the unfortunate loss, due to fire, of the community house occupied by Unit I. The result of these two factors was that both Special Supervision Units were housed jointly in the same community facility in late 1970 and continued so until March, 1972. The completion of the new King County Youth Service Center in March, 1972 made centralized office work space readily available without cost to the Special Supervision Program, and thus both Special Supervision Units and the Support Services Unit were moved into close proximity with one another at the Youth Service Center. While this is a major departure from our original plans, it does provide greater efficiency in the performance of courtroom oriented tasks, better coordination between units, with Regular Probation staff and with Support Services staff, and the reduced cost of community housing makes more funds available for direct client services and support. Basically, the physical presence at the central location in the Youth Service Center is limited to administrative and courtroom oriented functions. Additional housing for case work functions in the various communities is being provided for staff largely on a donable basis augmented as necessary from program funds.

c. Program Intake

The screening of new probation cases into either the Special Supervision Program or into the Regular Probation program has always been a less than satisfactory process. Several different methods - ranging from a "full-blown" diagnostic Review Board, to a one-man operation - have been tried over the past two and one half years with little apparent difference in the types of cases assigned to the Special Supervision Program. While recognizing our inability to develop a truly effective

c. Program Intake continued:

screening process thus far, we continue to search for a more objective and effective method for selecting cases for our program.

We are aiming eventually to be able to precede assignment with a comprehensive diagnostic examination to include psychological, psychiatric, physical, medical, dental, legal and educational aspects which coupled with the social investigation will establish treatment needs and indicate the best course of action for accomplishment. Full implementation will depend on availability of adequate funding.

d. Volunteer Program

The increased use of volunteers in the Special Supervision Program has not yet occurred, as anticipated, for several reasons:

(1) Probation Officers have actually experienced an added demand on their time when volunteers work with children, as compared with handling cases themselves without volunteers; (2) thus far, the court has not been able to recruit, orient and assign volunteers to specific case needs in keeping with the urgent time demands required by given case situations; (3) it has taken considerable effort and time to recruit a Volunteer Coordinator for the Special Supervision Program, and thus our program has functioned without such a person on staff until very recently (May, 1972). Now that a Volunteer Coordinator has been hired, we anticipate a gradual increase in our capacity to learn how to use volunteers effectively as support personnel to our ongoing program.

e. Support Service Unit

The Support Service Supervisor is responsible to the Assistant Administrator for Probation Services. The Support Services Unit provides specialized services to the Special Supervision Units on a first priority basis, and thereafter assists regular court staff as time and work schedules permit. In addition to responding to routine requests from probation officers, the Support Services Unit also has been active in developing community-based resources for the Special Supervision Program which are not now available in the community. We plan to continue contracting for the following services: Group and Foster homes; Medical/Dental Diagnosis and treatment; casework/psychological/psychiatric diagnosis and treatment; residential, special education and recreational programs; seminars, institutes and consultative services to enhance the effectiveness of probation officers, supervisors and administrators in our program.

Two recent innovations not previously tried in our program are contractual arrangements for temporary foster home placement in lieu of detention and provision of financial support for work/training employment which is incidental to the rehabilitation plan. We plan to continue both of these according to needs.

e. Support Service Unit continued:

In the summer of 1971, an afternoon recreation program was developed and staffed by a Special Supervision Program probation officer, who had a graduate degree (MSW) in social group work. This program, operating as an adjunct to the Youth Service Center "extension" school, proved to be extremely effective in: (1) maintaining children in the "extension" school; (2) helping socially isolated children develop skills in communicating and cooperating with others; (3) re-directing their time, energies and interests into socially acceptable outlets, thereby reducing their potential for delinquent behavior. As a result of our positive experiences with that program, and because the court currently is in the process of developing a "Transition Education Center" which will serve some of our Special Supervision clients, we are requesting a new Support Services position of Group Recreation Specialist commencing 1 July 1973 (Budget B). This new position will enable us to develop a recreation/socialization group program in concert with the "Transition Education Center" and also help us develop a pilot group work project within the Special Supervision Program.

f. Training

Although staff at all levels within the program have been involved in special training seminars, institutes, classes and workshops since the inception of our program in January, 1970, we have become increasingly aware of our need to develop a comprehensive and relevant in-service training program for the Special Supervision staff. We, therefore, are requesting a position of Special Supervision Training Officer commencing 1 July 1973 (Budget B). We intend to bolster this position by purchase of some additional training equipment - a 16 M.M. sound projector and a tape recorder augmenting the overhead projector and video equipment already obtained.

g. Program Evaluation

A substantial quantity of data about our services, clientele, program and effectiveness has been compiled. New data gathering systems have been developed for the program and a computerized print-out of pertinent data has recently been accomplished. We anticipate that a substantial amount of evaluative data and reports will result from these efforts and that they may be published in professional journals sometime during 1973 or 1974.

h. Special Program for Girls:

As a result of a special appropriation authorized by the recent Extraordinary session of the State Legislature, we are in the process of collecting hard data on the unique characteristics and special service needs of a group of approximately 35 girls who have been committed to the Department of Social and Health Services (Division of Institutions) from King County during the period July 1, 1971 through April 30, 1972. Analysis of this data will allow us to develop a highly specialized probationary program for girls who would be likely candidates for commitment to a juvenile correctional facility.

h. Program for Girls continued:

Depending on the success of the limited program (\$15,000 for King County) which has been authorized only for the period of 1 July 1972 through 30 June 1973, it is conceivable that a special supervision unit tailored to work exclusively with girls will become a continuing need.

VII. *The name and mailing address of the county official designated to coordinate planning, certification, and the making and submissions of claims for reimbursement from the Department of Social and Health Services. The Department of Social and Health Services must be notified in writing by the Board of County Commissioners or other responsible county officials if the coordinator is changed and the name and address of the new coordinator.*

COORDINATOR FOR KING COUNTY: Chairman, Board of Mangers, who presently is:

George H. Revelle, Judge
King County Juvenile Court
1211 East Alder Street
Seattle, Washington 98122

VIII. *A statement of assurance that all facilities and services described in the application will be available to probationers in Special Supervision Program where, in the judgment of the probation officer and his supervisor, such facilities or services are reasonably essential to achieve rehabilitation.*

Every service as outlined and described in the foregoing, as well as in the detailed budget will be available to any probationer in the Special Supervision Program where in the judgment of the probation officer and his supervisor, such facilities or services are reasonably essential to achieve rehabilitation, subject to approval by the Assistant Administrator for Probation Services. Final approval is the responsibility of the Coordinator.

IX. *A statement of assurance that the County or any agent will not in the operation and administration of the facilities and services of the County Special Supervision Program refuse or deny admission to, employment in, or otherwise deny participation in such program on the grounds of race, creed, color or national origin.*

Our Agency does not, and will not, deny employment or services on grounds of race, creed, color, or national origin.

X. *A general statement of tentative plans for the Special Supervision Program for the year subsequent to the year for which application is being made.*

The size of the program during 1974 will depend on the kind of financial support provided during the next biennium. Hopefully this will permit continuation of the program level achieved on implementation of Budget B of this plan, or about \$750,000 for the year.

BASIS FOR PROGRAM FINANCING

January 1 - December 31, 1973

A.	Department of Social and Health Services Projected Commitments by King County (See Note 1)	462
B.	King County Projected Commitments (See Note 2)	327
C.	King County Projected Commitment Reduction (A minus B)	135
D.	Projected Reimbursement Credit (projected Commitment Reduction x \$4,000) (See Note 3)	\$540,000

NOTES:

1. This figure is derived from the rate of 39.66 per 100,000 established for King County by the Department of Social and Health Services (average of the five years 1964 through 1968) and the projected King County population for 1973. In making the computation the rates are rounded out to the nearest whole number. The official figure provided by the Department of Social and Health Services was 462 for the year.
2. This is the commitment figure which cannot be exceeded if we are to earn sufficient reimbursement credit to support the planned budget.
3. In view of current commitment rates and barring unforeseen developments, there should be no difficulty in earning sufficient credit through reduced commitments to support the budget figure for this period. For comparison, the last full year of program operations (1971) 299 reductions were achieved. This would have supported a program of \$1,196,000 (299 x \$4,000).

SPECIAL SUPERVISION PROGRAM

January 1 - December 31, 1973

Budget Summary

	Budget A - 29 Positions			Budget B - 18 Positions
	1/1/73 - 6/30/73	7/1/73 - 12/31/73	Total	7/1/73 - 12/31/73
Salaries and Wages	\$144,617	\$ 140,642	\$285,259	\$ 75,443
Extra Help	<u>2,000</u>	<u>2,000</u>	<u>4,000</u>	<u>000</u>
Sub Total	\$146,617	\$ 142,642	\$289,259	\$ 75,443
<u>Personnel Benefits</u>				
OASI	8,172	4,808	12,980	4,263
Med - Hosp.	6,048	5,832	11,880	3,024
State Retirement	8,821	9,845	18,666	5,281
Dental	1,680	1,620	3,300	840
Ind. Ins.	<u>1,303</u>	<u>1,295</u>	<u>2,598</u>	<u>689</u>
Sub Total	26,024	23,400	49,424	14,097
Operation and Maintenance	37,336	37,336	74,672	31,550
Capital Outlay	<u>000</u>	<u>000</u>	<u>000</u>	<u>3,550</u>
TOTAL	\$ 209,977	\$ 203,378	\$413,355	\$124,640

NOTE: Budget "B" is a proposed augmentation of Budget "A" to be phased in during the last six months of calendar year 1973 provided adequate funding is available from appropriations covering the next biennium commencing July 1, 1973. If the program expansion reflected in Budget "B" is approved, the total budget figure for calendar year 1973 will be \$537,995. This will result in a program level for succeeding years of approximately \$750,000 per year.

NOTE: continued

Funding for the first six months of the 1973 proposed budget has already been allocated to King County from current biennium appropriations (\$193,251 originally allocated and \$16,726 carry-over from unexpended allocations for 1971 for a total of \$209,977). This sum provides for salary increases of 2% in 1972 and a prospective increase of 6% in 1973.

Additional funding from the next biennium appropriations in the amount of \$328,018 will be required for the second six months if the program expansion represented in Budget "B" is approved. To continue the program at its present level, a sum of \$203,378 will be required. Any lesser amount will force program curtailment.

SPECIAL SUPERVISION PROGRAM

Budget "A"

January 1, 1973 to December 31, 1973

Salaries and Wages (See footnote 1)

<u>NO.</u>	<u>POSITION</u>	<u>MONTH</u>	<u>ANNUAL</u>	<u>NO/MO</u>	<u>TOTAL</u>
1	Assistant Administrator for Probation Services (half)	\$1,297.44	\$15,569.28	6	\$ 7,784.64 (See footnote 3)
1	Business Manager	1,027.14	12,325.68	12	12,325.68
2	Casework Supervisor (I)	1,135.26	13,623.12	24	27,246.24
5	Probation Officers (IA)	1,000.11	12,001.32	60	60,006.60
5	Probation Officers (IB)	973.08	11,676.96	60	58,384.80
4	Secretaries (3) @ (half) (1) @	567.63 594.66	6,811.56 7,135.92	36 6	20,434.68 3,567.96 (See footnote 3)
1	Secretary/Off. Mgr. (half)	662.50	7,950.00	6	3,975.00 (See footnote 3)
2	Stenographers	513.57	6,162.84	24	12,325.68
1	Supervisor of Support Services and Community Resource Specialist	1,135.26	13,623.12	12	13,623.12
1	Research/Program Analyst	859.55	10,314.60	12	10,314.60
1	Community Liaison Specialist	843.34	10,120.08	12	10,120.08
1	Assistant Accountant	567.63	6,811.56	12	6,811.56
1	Education Specialist	973.08	11,676.96	12	11,676.96
1	Employment Specialist	843.34	10,120.08	12	10,120.08
1	Transportation-Messenger	540.60	6,487.20	12	6,487.20
1	Volunteer Coordinator	837.93	10,055.16	12	10,055.16
	Extra Help (Vacation, sick leave, holidays, case aides) (See footnote 2)	333.33	4,000.00		<u>4,000.00</u>
				SUB TOTAL	289,260.04
	Employee Benefits - Medical and Hospital				11,880.00
	Dental				3,300.00
	O.A.S.I.				12,980.00
	State Retirement				18,666.00
	Industrial Insurance				<u>2,598.00</u>
				SUB TOTAL	<u>49,424.00</u>
				TOTAL	\$338,684.04

See Page 12. (b) for footnotes)

SPECIAL SUPERVISION PROGRAM

Budget "B"

1 July 1973 to 31 December 1973

Salaries and Wages

<u>NO.</u>	<u>POSITION</u>	<u>MONTH</u>	<u>ANNUAL</u>	<u>NO/MO</u>	<u>TOTAL</u>
2	Casework Supervisor I	\$1,135.26	\$13,623.12	9	\$10,217.34
5	Probation Officers IA	1,000.11	12,001.32	30	30,000.33
5	Probation Officers IB	973.08	11,676.96	15	14,596.20
2	Secretaries	567.63	6,811.56	9	5,108.67
2	Stenographers	513.57	6,162.84	9	4,622.13
1	Group Recreation Specialist	843.34	10,120.08	6	5,060.04
1	Training Specialist	973.08	11,676.96	6	<u>5,838.48</u>
				SUB TOTAL	\$75,443.19

18

Employee Benefits

Medical and Hospital	\$ 3,024.00
Dental	840.00
O.A.S.I.	4,262.54
State Retirement	5,281.01
Industrial Insurance	<u>688.96</u>
SUB TOTAL	\$14,096.51
TOTAL	\$89,539.70

SPECIAL SUPERVISION PROGRAM

January 1, 1973 - December 31, 1973

FOOTNOTES:

1. Salaries will be adjusted to be equivalent to comparable positions in the regular court budget. Proposed salaries for 1973 are based upon a 2% increase of current salaries in 1972 and a possible 6% further increase in 1973. The actual salary increases will depend on Union negotiations yet to be conducted and available county revenues, but is not expected to go over 6%. The intention is to maintain parity between Probation Subsidy employees and comparable positions in the regular court. If the final salary increases are less than 6%, the balance will be available for re-allocation.
2. This line item is provided to cover two contingencies, neither of which can be predicted with accuracy in advance.

We need to be able to fill critical vacancies generated by prolonged sick leave or uncontrolled absence and we need to be able to hire probationers as case aides in selected cases when such employment is implicit in the treatment process. The latter employment is a substitute for direct financial support. It will be used primarily for cases that cannot be accommodated in the open labor market or which require close monitoring of the employer-employee relationship for therapeutic reasons. It will be productive employment at minimal wages and hence will contribute to program objectives both specifically and generally. The amount of such employment will be limited by the funds allocated which have been set purposely low due to funding limitations and lack of definitive statistics on need.

3. These three positions (Assistant Administrator for Probation Services, Secretary/Office Manager, and Secretary) will be supported 50% by regular court budget and 50% by Subsidy Budget.

SPECIAL SUPERVISION PROGRAM

OPERATIONS AND MAINTENANCE

		<u>Budget "A"</u> <u>1/1/73 - 12/31/73</u>	<u>Budget "B"</u> <u>7/1/73 - 12/31/73</u>
52110	Office Supplies	\$ 1,600	\$ 500
52204	Personal Supplies and Clothing	2,000	750
52210	Recreation and Recreation Supplies	3,000	1,500
53103	Medical, Hospital and Ambulance Service	13,000	4,000
	Professional Services; Psychiatric/ Psychological evaluation and treatment		
	Therapy: Individual, group, family, etc.		
	Medical: Examination and Treatment		
	Dental: Examinations and Treatment		
53104	Consulting Services: Case, group, . supervision, management, etc.	1,500	500
53210	Telephone and Telegraph	3,000	1,000
53220	Postage	300	100
53310	Travel Expenses	1,800	500
53318	Private Automobile Mileage	5,800	2,100
53620	Repair and Maintenance - Office Equipment	600	1,600 *
53710	Structures and Grounds Rentals	3,000	4,500 **
53730	Office Equipment Rentals	500	200
53890	Miscellaneous Services and Charges	35,072	13,000
	Special education, foster, receiving and group homes, tutors, homemakers, babysitters, etc., sheltered work training.		
55010	Motor Pool ER&R Services	<u>3,500</u>	<u>1,300</u>
	TOTAL	\$74,672	\$31,550

* Includes renovation of 18 desks @ \$85 each to equip two new units.

** Provides funds for rental of office space for location of two new units in remote sections of the County.

NOTE: All aspects of this program are subject to the direction, review and control of the Board of Managers of the Juvenile Department of the Superior Court for King County.

Submitted by:



George H. Reville, Judge
Chairman, Board of Managers
and Juvenile Court Committee
(Special Supervision Program Coordinator)

GHR:lk
7-7-72